



Inquisition

Salisbury

Walterus De Wilton

Writ directed to Salomon de Roff' and Thomas de Sudynton, and dated at Westminster 18th April, 5 Edward I [1277].

Edward, by the grace of God, etc.

On behalf of Walter de Wylton, who holds a certain mill under our Castle of Sarum next our mill there in fee farm of the Prior and Convent of St. Dennis without Southampton, it is shown to us that whereas the said Prior and Convent had the said mill of the gift of Patrick, sometime Earl of Sarum, then true lord and possessor of those mills, in this form, that they and their successors for ever should have only the multure of malt of all the men of the villis thereabouts adjacent to that mill. And the said Earl reserved the multure of all other corn of all the men of the villis at his other mill, and the said Prior and Convent from the time of the said gift and before that the remaining mill came to the hands of the predecessors had the multure of the said malt in the form aforesaid, and likewise that said Walter from the time when he was enfeoffed until Walter de Sturhcheslegh, late sheriff of our said county, to whom we committed the custody of our Castle of Sarum together with our said mill, caused as well the multure of such malt as of other corn of the men of the said villis to be brought to our said mill : Hyldebrand de London, now sheriff of the said county, by reason of bringing thereof made by the said Walter de Sturhcheleg, does not permit the said Walter de Wylton to have such multure as he ought to have, and which he and the said Prior and Convent in times past were not to have, to the no small damage of the said Walter : Now we, willing to be certified as to the premises and that full justice may be done to the said Walter, assign you to be our justices to enquire into the truth of the premises.

Verdict of the 24 jurors, viz., John de Parham, John de Monemue, John de Cheverel, John de Tynhyde, John de Kormaylles, Robert de Lusteshulle, William de Forestel, knights, John de la Forde, Henry de Mundene, William Aufrey, William Glendy, Alan de Langeford, Robert Scryeman, William Weliband, Edmund Falke, Bartholomew Aufrey, Saverie de Bunertone, Peter Cray, Hamo de la Mare, Geoffrey Warin, Roger Swoting, Richard de Stapeleford, William Malewan, Henry de Montsorel, and Geoffrey de Chaucumbe, who say that

To the mill under the Castle of Old Sarum which Walter de Wilton holds of the Prior and Convent of St. Dennis beyond Southampton, at fee farm, belongs and was wont to belong the multure of the malt of the men of Old Sarum, so that he shall find to them carriage at his own costs, and shall take of each brewing one barrel of ale for the carriage : and of all the men of the other villis thereabouts adjacent who freely and of good will wished to come to the said mill, and not otherwise. And because the said Walter de Wilton made there a fulling mill joined to his said mill for malt in the same course where in times past neither he nor his predecessors were wont to have there any such mill but only for male, to the damage of the mill and fishery of the lord the King : Walter de Sterkeslye, then sheriff of Wilts and keeper of the castle of

Sarum and the said mill, not permitting this to be done, caused the multure of the said malt to be brought to the King's mill.

Chan. Inq. p.m., 5 Edward I, No. 63

"Abstracts of Wiltshire Inquisitions Post Mortem," 1908, edited by Edw. Alex Fry.